arXiv:1210.2198v1 [math.PR] 8 Oct 2012

Cramér large deviation expansions for martingales under Bernstein's condition

Xiequan Fan*, Ion Grama and Quansheng Liu

Université de Bretagne-Sud, LMBA, UMR CNRS 6205, Campus de Tohannic,
56017 Vannes, France

Abstract

By using the conjugate distribution technique of Cramér, we obtain some expansions of large deviation probabilities for martingales with differences satisfying the conditional Bernstein's condition. The expansions are of the same order as in the classical Cramér's large deviation result and are therefore optimal.

Keywords: expansions of large deviations; Cramér type large deviations; large deviations; moderate deviations; exponential inequality; Bernstein's condition; central limit theorem

2000 MSC: Primary 60F10; 60E15; Secondary 60F05; 60G42

---

1. Introduction

Consider a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) centered real random variables (r.v.) $\xi_1, ..., \xi_n$, such that $\mathbb{E}\exp\{c_0|\xi_1|\} < \infty$ for some $c_0 > 0$. Denote $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}\xi_1^2$ and $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$. In 1938, in his seminal paper [5] based on the powerful technique of conjugate distributions (see also Esscher [8]), Cramér established an asymptotic expansion of the probabilities of large deviations for X_n. In particular from the results of Cramér one can deduce that uniformly in $1 \leq x \leq n^{1/6}$ the following expansion holds true:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > x\sigma\sqrt{n}) = \Big(1 - \Phi(x)\Big)\left(1 + O(\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}})\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-\infty}^{x} \exp\{-t^2/2\}dt$. Since then the exact expansions of large deviations for sums of independent random variables have been intensely studied (see for instance Feller [9], Petrov [20], Rubin and Sethuraman [25], Statulevičius [27], Saulis and Statulevičius [26], Bentkus and Račkauskas [1] among others). For more details, see the book of Petrov [21] and

---

*Corresponding author.
E-mail: fanxiequan@hotmail.com (X. Fan), ion.grama@univ-ubs.fr (I. Grama), quansheng.liu@univ-ubs.fr (Q. Liu).

the references therein. Despite the fact that many details are known in the case of independent random variables, there are only a few results on expansions of type (1) for martingales. Among them we would like to mention Bose [3, 4], Račkauskas [22, 23, 24], Grama [12, 13], Grama and Haeusler [14, 15]. It is worth noting that limit theorems for large and moderate deviation principle for martingales have been proved by many authors (see e.g. Liptser and Pukhalskii [19], Gulinsky and Veretennikov [16], Gulinsky, Liptser and Lototskii [17], Gao [11], Dembo [6], Worms [28] and Djellout [7]). However, these theorems are less precise than large deviation expansions of type (1).

By the change of measure technique for martingales, Grama and Haeusler [14] have obtained the following expansion of large deviation probabilities for martingales with bounded martingale differences and bounded quadratic characteristics. Let $(\xi_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i=0,...,n}$ be a sequence of square integrable martingale differences defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\xi_0 = 0$ and $\{\emptyset, \Omega\} = \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq ... \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. Denote $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$. Assume that the following conditions hold true: $\max_i |\xi_i| \leq L$ and $|\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - n| \leq M^2$, where L and M are finite positive constants. From the results in [14], for any x in the range $[\alpha_1\sqrt{\log n}, \alpha_2 n^{1/6}]$ (where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$ are sufficiently small), one has

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_n > x\sqrt{n}\right) = \Big(1 - \Phi\left(x\right)\Big)\left(1 + O\left((M+L)\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right). \tag{2}$$

In this paper, we extend the expansion (2) to the case of martingale differences $(\xi_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i=0,...,n}$ satisfying the conditional Bernstein's condition

$$|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})| \leq \frac{1}{2}k!H^{k-2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad \text{for } \ k \geq 3 \ \text{ and } \ 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

where $H > 0$ is a constant. It is well known that (in the i.i.d. case) Bernstein's condition is equivalent to Cramér's condition, so our results extend Cramér's expansion (1). We would like to stress that the remainder in the expansion (2) is of the same order as that in the expansion (1) and therefore cannot be improved. We shall also provide expansions of the tail probability of the martingale X_n in a range larger than the normal one under the same constraint (Compare Corollary 2.2 of the present paper with Theorem 1 of Chapter VIII, p. 218 in Petrov (1975)).

As in [14], for the proofs we shall use the conjugate multiplicative martingale and the conjugate probability measure. The idea behind this approach is similar to Cramér's approach for independent random variables.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we state our main results, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. In Section 3, we give a rate of convergence in the CTL for martingales. In Section 4, we establish some auxiliary results for the proofs of our main results. In Sections 5 and 6, we prove respectively Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. In Section 7, we present the proof of Lemma 3.1 used in the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

2. Main Results

Assume that we are given a sequence of martingale differences $(\xi_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i=0,...,n}$, defined on some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, where $\xi_0 = 0$ and $\{\emptyset, \Omega\} = \mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq ... \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ are increasing

σ-fields. Set

$$X_0 = 0, \qquad X_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i, \quad k = 1, ..., n. \tag{3}$$

Let $\langle X \rangle$ be the quadratic characteristic of the martingale $X = (X_k, \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,...,n}$:

$$\langle X \rangle_0 = 0, \qquad \langle X \rangle_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad k = 1, ..., n. \tag{4}$$

In the sequel we shall use the following conditions:

(A1) There exists a number $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that

$$|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^k | \mathcal{F}_{i-1})| \leq \frac{1}{2} k! \epsilon^{k-2} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad \text{for } k \geq 3 \ \text{ and } \ 1 \leq i \leq n;$$

(A2) There exists a number $\delta = \delta(n) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ such that $|\langle X \rangle_n - 1| \leq \delta^2$.

Throughout the paper c and θ, probably supplied with some indices, denote respectively a generic positive absolute constant and a number satisfying $|\theta| \leq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then there is an absolute constant* $\alpha > 0$ *such that the following inequalities hold true: for all* $0 \leq x \leq \alpha \, \epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} \leq \exp\{c_1(x^3 \epsilon + x^2 \delta^2)\} \Big(1 + c_2(1 + x) \left(\epsilon \, |\log \epsilon| + \delta \right) \Big) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n < -x)}{\Phi(-x)} \leq \exp\{c_1(x^3 \epsilon + x^2 \delta^2)\} \Big(1 + c_2(1 + x) \left(\epsilon \, |\log \epsilon| + \delta \right) \Big). \tag{6}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then there is an absolute constant* $\alpha > 0$ *such that the following inequalities hold true: for all* $0 \leq x \leq \alpha \, \min\{\epsilon^{-1/2}, \delta^{-1}\}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} \geq \exp\{-c_1 x^3 \epsilon\} \Big(1 - c_2(1 + x)(\epsilon \, |\log \epsilon| + \delta) \Big) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n < -x)}{\Phi(-x)} \geq \exp\{-c_1 x^3 \epsilon\} \Big(1 - c_2(1 + x)(\epsilon \, |\log \epsilon| + \delta) \Big). \tag{8}$$

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 together and using the inequality $\exp\{c_1 x^2 \delta^2\} \leq 1 + \theta e^{c_1 \alpha} x^2 \delta^2$ valid for $0 \leq x \leq \alpha \, \delta^{-1}$, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.1. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then there is an absolute constant* $\alpha > 0$ *such that the following equalities hold true: for all* $0 \le x \le \alpha \min\{\epsilon^{-1/2}, \delta^{-1}\}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = \exp\{\theta_1 c_1 x^3 \epsilon\} \Big(1 + \theta_2 c_2 (1 + x)(\epsilon \left|\log \epsilon\right| + \delta)\Big) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n < -x)}{\Phi(-x)} = \exp\{\theta_1 c_1 x^3 \epsilon\} \Big(1 + \theta_2 c_2 (1 + x)(\epsilon \left|\log \epsilon\right| + \delta)\Big). \tag{10}$$

Using the inequality $e^y = 1 + \theta e^{c_1} y$ for $|y| \le c_1$, we easily obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then the following expansions hold true: for all* $0 \le x \le \min\{\epsilon^{-1/3}, \delta^{-1}\}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} = 1 + \theta c \Big((1 + x)(\epsilon \left|\log \epsilon\right| + \delta) + x^3 \epsilon\Big) \tag{11}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n < -x)}{\Phi(-x)} = 1 + \theta c \Big((1 + x)(\epsilon \left|\log \epsilon\right| + \delta) + x^3 \epsilon\Big). \tag{12}$$

To illustrate our results on Cramér large deviations, consider the case where $\xi_i = \eta_i/\sqrt{n}$ and the following conditions:

(A1′) (*Bernstein's condition*) there exists some finite positive constant H such that

$$|\mathbb{E}(\eta_i^k | \mathcal{F}_{i-1})| \le \frac{1}{2} k! H^{k-2} \mathbb{E}(\eta_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad \text{for } k \ge 3 \ \text{ and } \ 1 \le i \le n;$$

(A2′) $|\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(\eta_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - n| \le L^2$, for some finite non-negative constant L.

These conditions are satisfied if, for instance, $\eta_1, \eta_2, ..., \eta_n$ are i.i.d. with a finite exponential moment.

Remark 2.1. *Condition (A1′) is equivalent to the following* Sakhanenko's condition: *there exists some positive constant* K *such that*

$$K\, \mathbb{E}(|\xi_i|^3 \exp\{K|\xi_i|\} | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \le \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \qquad \textit{for } 1 \le i \le n.$$

In fact, Bernstein's condition and Sakhanenko's condition are equivalent to the following one: there exists some positive constant ρ *such that*

$$\mathbb{E}(|\xi_i|^k | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \le \frac{1}{2} k! \rho^{k-2} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad \textit{for } k \ge 3 \ \textit{ and } \ 1 \le i \le n.$$

In the i.i.d. case, the three conditions, i.e. Cramér's condition, Bernstein's condition and Sakhanenko's condition, are all equivalent.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume the conditions (A1′) and (A2′). Then there is an absolute constant* $\alpha > 0$ *such that the following expansions hold true: for all* $0 \leq x \leq \alpha\, n^{1/4}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i > x\sqrt{n})}{1-\Phi\left(x\right)} = \exp\left\{O(H\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}})\right\}\left(1+O\left((H+L)\frac{1+x}{\sqrt{n}}\log n\right)\right) \tag{13}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i < -x\sqrt{n})}{\Phi\left(-x\right)} = \exp\left\{O(H\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}})\right\}\left(1+O\left((H+L)\frac{1+x}{\sqrt{n}}\log n\right)\right). \tag{14}$$

Corollary 2.4. *Assume the conditions (A1′) and (A2′). Then there are two positive absolute constants* α_1 *and* α_2 *such that the following expansions hold true: for all* $\alpha_1\sqrt{\log n} \leq x \leq \alpha_2 n^{1/6}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i > x\sqrt{n})}{1-\Phi\left(x\right)} = 1+O\left((H+L)\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{15}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i < -x\sqrt{n})}{\Phi\left(-x\right)} = 1+O\left((H+L)\frac{x^3}{\sqrt{n}}\right). \tag{16}$$

Remark 2.2. *Note that here we obtain the same rate of convergence as in (1), provided that* $\alpha_1\sqrt{\log n} \leq x \leq \alpha_2 n^{1/6}$.

3. Rates of convergence in the CTL

Let $(\xi_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i=0,...,n}$ be a sequence of martingale differences satisfying condition (A1) and $X = (X_k, \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,...,n}$ be the corresponding martingale defined by (3). For any real number λ with $|\lambda| < \epsilon^{-1}$, consider the *exponential multiplicative martingale* $Z(\lambda) = (Z_k(\lambda), \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,...,n}$, where

$$Z_k(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{e^{\lambda\xi_i}}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})}, \quad k=1,...,n, \quad Z_0(\lambda)=1.$$

Thus, for each real number λ with $|\lambda| < \epsilon^{-1}$ and each $k = 1, ..., n$, the random variable $Z_k(\lambda)$ is a probability density on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. The last observation allows us to introduce, for $|\lambda| < \epsilon^{-1}$, the *conjugate probability measure* $\mathbb{P}_\lambda$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ defined by

$$d\mathbb{P}_\lambda = Z_n(\lambda)d\mathbb{P}. \tag{17}$$

Denote by $\mathbb{E}_\lambda$ the expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}_\lambda$. For all $i = 1, \dots, n$, let

$$\eta_i(\lambda) = \xi_i - b_i(\lambda) \qquad \text{and} \qquad b_i(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}_\lambda(\xi_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}).$$

We thus obtain the well-known semimartingale decomposition:

$$X_k = Y_k(\lambda) + B_k(\lambda), \qquad k=1,...,n, \tag{18}$$

where $Y(\lambda) = (Y_k(\lambda), \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=1,...,n}$ is the *conjugate martingale* defined as

$$Y_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i(\lambda), \qquad k = 1, ..., n, \tag{19}$$

and $B(\lambda) = (B_k(\lambda), \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=1,...,n}$ is the *drift process* defined as

$$B_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i(\lambda), \qquad k = 1, ..., n.$$

In the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we make use of the following assertion, which gives us a rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for the conjugate martingale $Y(\lambda)$ under the probability measure $\mathbb{P}_\lambda$.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then, for all* $0 \leq \lambda < 1$,

$$\sup_x |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n(\lambda) \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq c\,(\epsilon\,|\log \epsilon| + \delta)$$

and, for all $1 \leq \lambda < \epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\sup_x |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n(\lambda) \leq x) - \Phi(x)| \leq c\left(\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon\,|\log \epsilon| + \delta\right).$$

If $\lambda = 0$, then $Y_n(\lambda) = X_n$ and $\mathbb{P}_\lambda = \mathbb{P}$. So by Lemma 3.1, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then*

$$\sup_x |\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x) - \Phi\left(x\right)| \leq c\,(\epsilon\,|\log \epsilon| + \delta). \tag{20}$$

Remark 3.1. *By inspecting the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can see that Theorem 3.1 holds true when condition (A1) is replaced by the following weaker one:*

(C1) *There exists a number* $\epsilon = \epsilon(n) \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ *such that*

$$|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})| \leq \epsilon^{k-2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad \textit{for } k = 3, 5 \textit{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Remark 3.2. *Bolthausen (see Theorem 2 of [2]) showed that if* $|\xi_i| \leq \epsilon$ *and condition (A2) hold, then*

$$\sup_x |\mathbb{P}(X_n \leq x) - \Phi\left(x\right)| \leq c_1\,(\epsilon^3 n \log n + \delta). \tag{21}$$

We note that Theorem 3.1 implies Bolthausen's inequality (21) under the less restrictive condition (A1). Indeed, by condition (A2), we have $\frac{3}{4} \leq \langle X\rangle_n \leq n\epsilon^2$ *and then* $\epsilon \geq \sqrt{\frac{3}{4n}}$. *For* $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, *it is easy to see that* $\epsilon^3 n \log n \geq \epsilon\left(\sqrt{\frac{3}{4n}}\right)^2 n \log \frac{3}{4\epsilon^2} \geq \frac{3}{4}\,\epsilon \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} = \frac{3}{4}\,\epsilon|\log \epsilon|$. *Thus, inequality (20) implies (21) with* $c_1 = \frac{4}{3}\,c$.

4. Auxiliary results

In this section, we establish some auxiliary results which will be used in the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume condition (A1). Then*

$$|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})| \leq 6k!\epsilon^k, \quad \textit{for } k \geq 2,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}(|\xi_i|^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq k!\epsilon^{k-2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad \textit{for } k \geq 2.$$

Proof. By Jensen's inequality and condition (A1),

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(\xi_i^4|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq 12\epsilon^2\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}),$$

from which we get

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq 12\epsilon^2.$$

We obtain the first assertion. Again by condition (A1), for $k \geq 3$,

$$|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})| \leq \frac{1}{2}k!\epsilon^{k-2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq 6k!\epsilon^k.$$

If k is even, the second assertion holds obviously. If $k = 2l+1$, $l \geq 1$, is odd, by Hölder's inequality and condition (A1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}(|\xi_i|^{2l+1}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) &\leq \mathbb{E}(|\xi_i|^l|\xi_i|^{l+1}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^{2l}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^{2(l+1)}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(2l)!(2l+2)!}\epsilon^{2l-1}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\
&\leq (2l+1)!\epsilon^{2l-1}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

Lemma 4.2. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then, for all* $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\lambda - \lambda\delta^2 - 8\lambda^2\epsilon \leq B_n(\lambda) \leq \lambda + \lambda\delta^2 + 6\lambda^2\epsilon. \tag{22}$$

Therefore,

$$|B_n(\lambda) - \lambda| \leq \varphi(\lambda) := 8\lambda^2\epsilon + \lambda\delta^2.$$

Proof. By the relation between $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{E}_\lambda$ on $\mathcal{F}_i$, we have

$$b_i(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(\xi_i e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})}, \qquad i = 1, ..., n.$$

Jensen's inequality and $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})=0$ imply that $\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\geq 1$. Since

$$\mathbb{E}(\xi_i e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})=\mathbb{E}(\xi_i(e^{\lambda\xi_i}-1)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\geq 0, \quad \text{ for } \lambda\geq 0,$$

by Taylor's expansion of e^x, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(\lambda) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i(e^{\lambda\xi_i}-1)|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\ &= \lambda\langle X\rangle_n+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}(\frac{\xi_i(\lambda\xi_i)^k}{k!}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

By condition (A1), it follows that, for all $0\leq\lambda\leq\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}\left|\mathbb{E}(\frac{\xi_i(\lambda\xi_i)^k}{k!}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right| &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^{k+1}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})|\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \\ &\leq \lambda^2\epsilon\langle X\rangle_n\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}(k+1)(\lambda\epsilon)^{k-2} \\ &\leq \frac{40}{9}\lambda^2\epsilon\langle X\rangle_n. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

By condition (A2), we get $\langle X\rangle_n\leq\frac{5}{4}$ and, for all $0\leq\lambda\leq\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}\left|\mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\xi_i(\lambda\xi_i)^k}{k!}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}\right)\right|\leq 6\lambda^2\epsilon. \tag{25}$$

Condition (A2) together with (23) and (25) give the upper bound of $B_n(\lambda)$:

$$B_n(\lambda)\leq\lambda+\lambda\delta^2+6\,\lambda^2\epsilon.$$

Using again Lemma 4.1, we have, for all $0\leq\lambda\leq\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) &\leq 1+\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}\left|\mathbb{E}(\frac{(\lambda\xi_i)^k}{k!}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right| \\ &\leq 1+6\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}(\lambda\epsilon)^k \\ &\leq 1+8\,(\lambda\epsilon)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality together with condition (A2) and (25) imply that, for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(\lambda) &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}(\xi_i e^{\lambda \xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right) \left(1+8(\lambda\epsilon)^2\right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \left(\lambda \langle X\rangle_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} \left|\mathbb{E}(\frac{\xi_i(\lambda\xi_i)^k}{k!}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right|\right) \left(1+8(\lambda\epsilon)^2\right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \left(\lambda - \lambda\delta^2 - 6\lambda^2\epsilon\right)\left(1+8(\lambda\epsilon)^2\right)^{-1} \\ &\geq \lambda - \lambda\delta^2 - 8\,\lambda^2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof of Lemma 4.2 is finished. □

Now, consider the predictable process $\Psi(\lambda) = (\Psi_k(\lambda), \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,\dots,n}$, which is related with the martingale X as follows:

$$\Psi_k(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \log \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}). \tag{26}$$

We have the following elementary bound for the process $\Psi(\lambda)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume the conditions (A1) and (A2). Then, for all* $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\left|\Psi_n(\lambda) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right| \leq \psi(\lambda) := 2\lambda^3\epsilon + \frac{\lambda^2\delta^2}{2}.$$

Proof. Since $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) = 0$, it is easy to see that

$$\Psi_n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\log\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - \lambda\mathbb{E}(\xi_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\left\langle X\right\rangle_n.$$

Using a two term Taylor's expansion of $\log(1+x), x \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n(\lambda) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\left\langle X\right\rangle_n &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - 1 - \lambda\mathbb{E}(\xi_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(1+|\theta|(\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})-1))}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\,\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - 1\Big)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, since $\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \geq 1$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\Psi_n(\lambda) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\left\langle X\right\rangle_n\right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - 1 - \lambda\mathbb{E}(\xi_i|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\Big(\,\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) - 1\Big)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=3}^{+\infty}\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})| + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}\frac{\lambda^k}{k!}|\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})|\right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as in the proof of (24), by condition (A1) and the inequality $\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq 12\,\epsilon^2$ (cf. Lemma 4.1), it follows that, for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\left|\Psi_n(\lambda) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\,\langle X\rangle_n\right| \leq \frac{\lambda^3\epsilon}{2(1-\lambda\epsilon)}\langle X\rangle_n + \frac{3\lambda^4\epsilon^2}{2(1-\lambda\epsilon)^2}\langle X\rangle_n \leq \frac{4}{3}\lambda^3\epsilon\langle X\rangle_n.$$

Combining this inequality with condition (A2) together, we get, for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\left|\Psi_n(\lambda) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right| \leq 2\lambda^3\epsilon + \frac{\lambda^2\delta^2}{2}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

5. Proof of Theorem 2.1

For $0 \leq x < 1$, Theorem 2.1 follows from Theorem 3.1. For $1 \leq x \leq \alpha\,\epsilon^{-1}$, we prove Theorem 2.1 as follows. For all $0 \leq \lambda < \epsilon^{-1}$, according to (17), we have the following representation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X_n > x) &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda Z_n(\lambda)^{-1}\mathbf{1}_{\{X_n>x\}} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda \exp\{-\lambda X_n + \Psi_n(\lambda)\}\,\mathbf{1}_{\{X_n>x\}} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda \exp\{-\lambda Y_n(\lambda) - \lambda B_n(\lambda) + \Psi_n(\lambda)\}\,\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n(\lambda)+B_n(\lambda)>x\}}. \qquad (27)\end{aligned}$$

Let $\overline{\lambda} = \overline{\lambda}(x)$ be the largest solution of the equation

$$\lambda + \lambda\delta^2 + 6\lambda^2\epsilon = x. \qquad (28)$$

The definition of $\overline{\lambda}$ implies that, for all $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$c_0\,x \leq \overline{\lambda} = \frac{2x}{\sqrt{(1+\delta^2)^2 + 24x\epsilon} + 1 + \delta^2} \leq x \qquad (29)$$

and

$$\overline{\lambda} = x - c_1|\theta|(x^2\epsilon + x\delta^2). \qquad (30)$$

From (27), using Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and equality (28), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > x) \leq e^{\psi(\overline{\lambda})+\overline{\lambda}\varphi(\overline{\lambda})-\overline{\lambda}^2/2}\mathbb{E}_{\overline{\lambda}}e^{-\overline{\lambda}Y_n(\overline{\lambda})}\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n(\overline{\lambda})>0\}}. \qquad (31)$$

Notice that for any real random variable X and any $\lambda \geq 0$, $e^{-\lambda X} = \int_X^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda y}dy$, $e^{-\lambda X}\mathbf{1}_{\{X>0\}} = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda y}\mathbf{1}_{\{0<X\leq y\}}dy$, so that

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda X}\mathbf{1}_{\{X>0\}} \;=\; \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda y}\mathbb{P}(0 < X \leq y)dy. \qquad (32)$$

Using formula (32) for $\lambda = \overline{\lambda}$, $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\lambda}}$ and $X = Y_n(\overline{\lambda})$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{\overline{\lambda}} e^{-\overline{\lambda} Y_n(\overline{\lambda})} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n(\overline{\lambda})>0\}} = \int_0^\infty \overline{\lambda} e^{-\overline{\lambda} y} \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\lambda}}(0 < Y_n(\overline{\lambda}) \leq y) dy. \tag{33}$$

Similarly using (32) for a standard gaussian random variable $\mathcal{N}$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} e^{-\overline{\lambda}\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}>0\}} = \int_0^\infty \overline{\lambda} e^{-\overline{\lambda} y} \mathbb{P}(0 < \mathcal{N} \leq y) dy. \tag{34}$$

The differences between (33) and (34) give

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{\overline{\lambda}} e^{-\overline{\lambda} Y_n(\overline{\lambda})} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n(\overline{\lambda})>0\}} - \mathbb{E} e^{-\overline{\lambda}\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}>0\}} \right| \leq 2 \sup_y \left| \mathbb{P}_\lambda (Y_n(\lambda) \leq y) - \Phi(y) \right|.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we have the following bound, for all $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{\overline{\lambda}} e^{-\overline{\lambda} Y_n(\overline{\lambda})} \mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n(\overline{\lambda})>0\}} - \mathbb{E} e^{-\overline{\lambda}\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}>0\}} \right| \leq c \left(\overline{\lambda}\epsilon + \epsilon \left| \log \epsilon \right| + \delta \right). \tag{35}$$

From (31), using (35), we find that, for all $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n > x) \leq e^{\psi(\overline{\lambda}) + \overline{\lambda}\varphi(\overline{\lambda}) - \overline{\lambda}^2/2} \left(\mathbb{E} e^{-\overline{\lambda}\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}>0\}} + c \left(\overline{\lambda}\epsilon + \epsilon \left| \log \epsilon \right| + \delta \right) \right).$$

Since

$$e^{-\lambda^2/2} \mathbb{E} e^{-\lambda\mathcal{N}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}>0\}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(y+\lambda)^2/2} dy = 1 - \Phi(\lambda) \tag{36}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\lambda^2/2} \leq c \left(1 - \Phi(\lambda) \right), \qquad \lambda \geq c_0, \tag{37}$$

(see Feller [10]), we obtain the following upper bound on tail probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n > x)}{1 - \Phi\left(\overline{\lambda}\right)} &\leq e^{\psi(\overline{\lambda}) + \overline{\lambda}\varphi(\overline{\lambda})} \left(1 + c \left(\overline{\lambda}^2 \epsilon + \overline{\lambda}\epsilon \left| \log \epsilon \right| + \overline{\lambda}\delta \right) \right) \\ &\leq e^{c \left(\overline{\lambda}^3 \epsilon + \overline{\lambda}^2 \delta^2 \right)} \left(1 + c \left(\overline{\lambda}^2 \epsilon + \overline{\lambda}\epsilon \left| \log \epsilon \right| + \overline{\lambda}\delta \right) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

valid for all $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$.

Next, we would like to compare $1 - \Phi(\overline{\lambda})$ with $1 - \Phi(x)$. By (37), (29) and (30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq \frac{\int_{\overline{\lambda}}^\infty \exp\{-t^2/2\} dt}{\int_x^\infty \exp\{-t^2/2\} dt} &\leq 1 + \frac{\int_{\overline{\lambda}}^x \exp\{-t^2/2\} dt}{\int_x^\infty \exp\{-t^2/2\} dt} \\ &\leq 1 + c_1 x (x - \overline{\lambda}) \exp\{(x^2 - \overline{\lambda}^2)/2\} \\ &\leq \exp\{c\,(x^3\epsilon + x^2\delta^2)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

So we find

$$1-\Phi\left(\overline{\lambda}\right)=(1-\Phi(x))\exp\left\{|\theta_1|c\left(x^3\epsilon+x^2\delta^2\right)\right\}. \tag{40}$$

Implementing (40) in (38) and using (29), we obtain, for all $1\leq x\leq\frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n>x)}{1-\Phi\left(x\right)} &\leq \exp\{c_2(x^3\epsilon+x^2\delta^2)\}\Big(1+c_3\left(x^2\epsilon+x\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+x\delta\right)\Big)\\ &\leq \exp\{c_2(x^3\epsilon+x^2\delta^2)\}\Big(1+c_3x^2\epsilon\Big)\Big(1+c_3x\left(\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\delta\right)\Big)\\ &\leq \exp\{c_4(x^3\epsilon+x^2\delta^2)\}\Big(1+c_3x\left(\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\delta\right)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the first assertion of Theorem 2.1. The second assertion follows from the first one applied to the martingale $(-X_k)_{k=0,\ldots,n}$.

6. Proof of Theorem 2.2

For $0\leq x<1$, Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 3.1. For $1\leq x\leq\alpha\min\{\epsilon^{-1/2},\delta^{-1}\}$, we prove Theorem 2.2 as follows. Let $\underline{\lambda}=\underline{\lambda}(x)$ be the least solution of the equation

$$\lambda-\lambda\delta^2-8\lambda^2\epsilon=x. \tag{41}$$

The definition of $\underline{\lambda}$ implies that, for all $1\leq x\leq 0.01\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$x\leq\underline{\lambda}=\frac{2x}{1-\delta^2+\sqrt{(1-\delta^2)^2-32x\epsilon}}\leq c\,x \tag{42}$$

and

$$\underline{\lambda}=x+c_0|\theta|(x^2\epsilon+x\delta^2). \tag{43}$$

From (27), using Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and equality (41), we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n>x)\geq e^{-\psi(\underline{\lambda})-\underline{\lambda}\varphi(\underline{\lambda})-\underline{\lambda}^2/2}\mathbb{E}_{\underline{\lambda}}e^{-\underline{\lambda}Y_n(\underline{\lambda})}\mathbf{1}_{\{Y_n(\underline{\lambda})>0\}}. \tag{44}$$

Again by (35) with $\overline{\lambda}$ replaced by $\underline{\lambda}$, we get, for all $1\leq x\leq 0.01\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n>x)\geq e^{-\psi(\underline{\lambda})-\underline{\lambda}\varphi(\underline{\lambda})-\underline{\lambda}^2/2}\left(\mathbb{E}e^{-\underline{\lambda}\mathcal{N}}\mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{N}>0\}}-c\left(\underline{\lambda}\epsilon+\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\delta\right)\right).$$

By (36) and (37), we obtain the following lower bound of the tail probability:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n>x)}{1-\Phi\left(\underline{\lambda}\right)} &\geq e^{-\psi(\underline{\lambda})-\underline{\lambda}\varphi(\underline{\lambda})}\Big(1-c\left(\underline{\lambda}^2\epsilon+\underline{\lambda}\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\underline{\lambda}\delta\right)\Big)\\ &\geq e^{-c\left(\underline{\lambda}^3\epsilon+\underline{\lambda}^2\delta^2\right)}\Big(1-c\left(\underline{\lambda}^2\epsilon+\underline{\lambda}\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\underline{\lambda}\delta\right)\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

which holds for all $1\leq x\leq 0.01\epsilon^{-1}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we now compare $1-\Phi(\underline{\lambda})$ with $1-\Phi(x)$. By a similar argument as in (39), we have

$$1-\Phi\left(\underline{\lambda}\right)=(1-\Phi(x))\exp\left\{-|\theta|c\left(x^3\epsilon+x^2\delta^2\right)\right\}. \tag{46}$$

Implementing (46) in (45) and using (42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n>x)}{1-\Phi\left(x\right)} &\geq e^{-c_2(x^3\epsilon+x^2\delta^2)}\Big(1-c_3\left(x^2\epsilon+x\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+x\delta\right)\Big)\\ &\geq e^{-c_2x^3\epsilon}\Big(1-c_2x^2\delta^2\Big)\Big(1-c_3\left(x^2\epsilon+x\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+x\delta\right)\Big)\\ &\geq e^{-c_2x^3\epsilon}\Big(1-c_4\left(x^2\epsilon+x\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+x\delta\right)\Big), \qquad (47)\end{aligned}$$

valid for all $1\leq x\leq 0.01\epsilon^{-1}$. It is easy to see that if $\alpha=\min\{0.01,(4c_4)^{-1}\}$, then for all $1\leq x\leq\alpha\min\{\epsilon^{-1/2},\delta^{-1}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}1-c_4(x^2\epsilon+x\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+x\delta) &\geq \Big(1-2c_4x^2\epsilon\Big)\Big(1-c_4x(\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\delta)\Big)\\ &\geq e^{-c_5x^2\epsilon}\Big(1-c_4x(\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\delta)\Big)\\ &\geq e^{-c_5x^2\epsilon}\Big(1-c_4x(\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\delta)\Big). \qquad (48)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (47) and (48) together, we obtain, for all $1\leq x\leq\alpha\min\{\epsilon^{-1/2},\delta^{-1}\}$,

$$\frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n>x)}{1-\Phi\left(x\right)}\geq e^{-(c_2+c_5)x^3\epsilon}\Big(1-c_4x\left(\epsilon\left|\log\epsilon\right|+\delta\right)\Big).$$

This proves the first conclusion of Theorem 2.2. The second conclusion follows from the first one applied to the martingale $(-X_k)_{k=0,...,n}$. □

7. Proof of Lemma 3.1

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is a refinement of Grama and Haeusler [14] where it is assumed that $|\eta_i|\leq 2\epsilon$, which is a particular case of condition (A1). Compared to the case where η_i are bounded, the main challenge of our proof comes from the control of I_1 defined in (55).

In this section, ϑ denotes a real number satisfying $0\leq\vartheta\leq 1$, which is different from θ, and $\varphi(t)$ denote the density function of the standard Gauss distribution. For the sake of simplicity, we also denote $Y(\lambda), Y_n(\lambda)$ and $\eta(\lambda)$ by Y, Y_n and η, respectively. What we want to do is to obtain a rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for the conjugate martingale $Y=(Y_k,\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=1,...,n}$ with $Y_k=\sum_{i=1}^k\eta_i$. We denote the quadratic characteristic of the conjugate martingale Y by $\langle Y\rangle_k=\sum_{i\leq k}\mathbb{E}_\lambda(\eta_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})$, and set $\Delta\langle Y\rangle_k=\mathbb{E}_\lambda(\eta_k^2|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})$. It is easy to find that, for $k=1,...,n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left\langle Y\right\rangle_k &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda((\xi_k-b_k(\lambda))^2|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\\ &= \frac{\mathbb{E}(\xi_k^2e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})}-\frac{\mathbb{E}(\xi_ke^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})^2}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})^2}. \qquad (49)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_i}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \geq 1$ and $|\eta_i|^k \leq 2^{k-1}(|\xi_i|^k + \mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\xi_i||\mathcal{F}_{i-1})^k)$, using condition (A1) and Lemma 4.1, we obtain, for all $k \geq 3$ and all $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\eta_i|^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) &\leq 2^{k-1}\mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\xi_i|^k + \mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\xi_i||\mathcal{F}_{i-1})^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\
&\leq 2^k\mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\xi_i|^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\
&\leq 2^k\mathbb{E}(|\xi_i|^k\exp\{|\lambda\xi_i|\}|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \\
&\leq c_1 2^k k!\epsilon^{k-2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1})\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Taylor's expansion of e^x and Lemma 1, we have, for all $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}\,\epsilon^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Delta\left<Y\right>_k - \Delta\left<X\right>_k| &\leq \left|\frac{\mathbb{E}(\xi_k^2 e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})} - \mathbb{E}(\xi_k^2|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right| + \left|\frac{\mathbb{E}(\xi_k e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})^2}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})^2}\right| \\
&\leq \left|\mathbb{E}(\xi_k^2 e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) - \mathbb{E}(\xi_k^2|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})\right| \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}(\xi_k e^{\lambda\xi_k}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}|\mathbb{E}(\xi_k^{l+2}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})|\frac{\lambda^l}{l!} + \Delta\langle X\rangle_k\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}|\mathbb{E}(\xi_k^l|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})|\frac{\lambda^l}{l!} \\
&\quad + \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}|\mathbb{E}(\xi_k^{l+1}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})|\frac{\lambda^l}{l!}\right)^2 \\
&\leq c\lambda\epsilon\,\Delta\langle X\rangle_k. \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|\langle Y\rangle_n - 1| \leq |\langle Y\rangle_n - \langle X\rangle_n| + |\langle X\rangle_n - 1| \leq c\lambda\epsilon\langle X\rangle_n + \delta^2.$$

Thus the martingale Y satisfies the following conditions (analogous to the conditions (A1) and (A2)):

(B1) $\mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\eta_i|^k|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}) \leq c_k\epsilon^{k-2}\mathbb{E}(\xi_i^2|\mathcal{F}_{i-1}), \quad 5 \geq k \geq 3;$

(B2) $|\left<Y\right>_n - 1| \leq c(\lambda\epsilon + \delta^2).$

We first prove the second assertion of Lemma 3.1. Set $T = 1 + \delta^2$ and introduce a modification of the quadratic characteristic $\langle X\rangle$ as follows:

$$V_k = \left<X\right>_k \mathbf{1}_{\{k<n\}} + T\mathbf{1}_{\{k=n\}}. \qquad (51)$$

Note that $V_0 = 0$, $V_n = T$ and that $(V_k, \mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,...,n}$ is a predictable process. Set $\gamma = \lambda\epsilon + \delta$, where $\lambda \in [1, \epsilon^{-1})$. Let $c_* \geq 4$ be a "free" absolute constant, whose exact value will be chosen latter. Consider the non-increasing discrete time predictable process $A_k = c_*^2\gamma^2 + T - V_k$, $k = 1, ..., n$. For any fixed $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y > 0$, set for brevity,

$$\Phi_u(x, y) = \Phi\left((u - x)/\sqrt{y}\right). \qquad (52)$$

In the following, we make use of the following two assertions, which can be found in Bolthausen's paper [2].

Lemma 7.1. *[2] Let X and Y be random variables. Then*

$$\sup_u |\mathbb{P}(X \le u) - \Phi(u)| \le c_1 \sup_u |\mathbb{P}(X + Y \le u) - \Phi(u)| + c_2 \left\| \mathbb{E}\left(Y^2 | X\right) \right\|_\infty^{1/2}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *[2] Let $G(x)$ be an integrable function of bounded variation, X be a random variable and a, $b > 0$ are real numbers. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}G\left(\frac{X + a}{b}\right) \le c_1 \sup_u |\mathbb{P}(X \le u) - \Phi(u)| + c_2\, b.$$

Using a well-known smoothing procedure (which employs Lemma 7.1), we get, with the normal random variable $\mathcal{N}_{c_*^2\gamma^2}$ being independent of Y_n,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_u |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \le u) - \Phi(u)| &\le c_1 \sup_u |\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) - \Phi(u)| + c_2\gamma \\
&\le c_1 \sup_u |\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) - \mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0)| \\
&\quad + c_1 \sup_u |\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0) - \Phi(u)| + c_2\gamma \\
&= c_1 \sup_u |\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) - \mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0)| \\
&\quad + c_1 \sup_u \left| \Phi\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{c_*^2\gamma^2 + T}}\right) - \Phi(u) \right| + c_2\gamma \\
&\le c_1 \sup_u |\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) - \mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0)| + c_3\gamma, \qquad (53)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) = \mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n + \mathcal{N}_{c_*^2\gamma^2} \le u), \quad \mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0) = \mathbb{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{N}_{c_*^2\gamma^2 + T} \le u).$$

Using a simple telescoping procedure, we get

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) - \mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0) = \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\Phi_u(Y_k, A_k) - \Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_{k-1})\right).$$

From this, taking into account that $(\eta_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i=0,\ldots,n}$ is a $\mathbb{P}_\lambda$-martingale and that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Phi_u(x, y) = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Phi_u(x, y),$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) - \mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0) = I_1 + I_2 - I_3, \qquad (54)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \Big(\Phi_u(Y_k, A_k) - \Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_k) \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_k)\eta_k - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_k)\eta_k^2 \Big), \qquad (55) \\ I_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_k)(\Delta \langle Y \rangle_k - \Delta V_k), \qquad (56) \end{aligned}$$

$$I_3 = \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_{k-1}) - \Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_k) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\Phi_u(Y_{k-1}, A_k)\Delta V_k \right). \qquad (57)$$

We now give estimates of I_1, I_2 and I_3. To shorten notations, set

$$T_{k-1} = (u - Y_{k-1})/\sqrt{A_k}.$$

a) *Control of* I_1. Using a three term Taylor's expansion, we have

$$I_1 = -\mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{6A_k^{3/2}}\varphi''\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k \eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\eta_k^3 . \qquad (58)$$

We distinguish two cases as follows.

Case 1: $|\eta_k/\sqrt{A_k}| \le |T_{k-1}|/2$. In this case, by the inequality $\varphi''(t) \le \varphi(t)(1+t^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\varphi''\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k \eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\right| &\le \varphi\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k \eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\left(1 + \left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k \eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)^2\right) \\ &\le \sup_{|t - T_{k-1}| \le |T_{k-1}|/2} \varphi(t)(1+t^2) \\ &\le \varphi(T_{k-1}/2)(1 + 4T_{k-1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Define $f_1(t) = \varphi(t/2)(1+4t^2)$ and $g_1(t) = \sup_{|t-z|\le 3} f_1(z)$. Then $g_1(t)$ is a symmetric integrable function of bounded variation and is non-increasing in $t \ge 0$ and satisfies

$$\left|\varphi''\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k \eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\eta_k/\sqrt{A_k}| \le |T_{k-1}|/2\right\}} \le g_1(T_{k-1}). \qquad (59)$$

Case 2: $|\eta_k/\sqrt{A_k}| > |T_{k-1}|/2$. Since $|\varphi''(t)| \le 2$, it follows that

$$\left|\varphi''\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k \eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\eta_k/\sqrt{A_k}| > |T_{k-1}|/2\right\}} \le 2\left(\mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k-1}|<2\}} + \frac{4\eta_k^2}{T_{k-1}^2 A_k}\mathbf{1}_{\{|T_{k-1}|\ge 2\}}\right). \qquad (60)$$

Using condition (B1), we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\eta_k|^3|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \le c\,\epsilon\Delta\langle X\rangle_k \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\eta_k|^5|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \le c\,\epsilon^3\Delta\langle X\rangle_k,$$

where $\Delta\langle X\rangle_k = \langle X\rangle_k - \langle X\rangle_{k-1}$. From the definition of the process V (see (51)), it follows that $\Delta\left\langle X\right\rangle_k \leq \Delta V_k = V_k - V_{k-1}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\eta_k|^3|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \leq c\,\Delta V_k\,\epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}_\lambda(|\eta_k|^5|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}) \leq c\,\Delta V_k\,\epsilon^3. \tag{61}$$

Thus, from (59), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda\left(\left|\varphi''\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k\eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\eta_k^3\right|\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\eta_k/\sqrt{A_k}|\leq|T_{k-1}|/2\right\}}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right) \leq c_4\,g_1(T_{k-1})\Delta V_k\,\epsilon. \tag{62}$$

From (60), by (61) and the inequality $\frac{\epsilon^2}{A_k} \geq c_*^{-2}$, we find

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda\left(\left|\varphi''\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k\eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\eta_k^3\right|\mathbf{1}_{\left\{|\eta_k/\sqrt{A_k}|>|T_{k-1}|/2\right\}}|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right) \leq g_2(T_{k-1})\Delta V_k\,\epsilon, \tag{63}$$

where $g_2(t) = 2\,c(\mathbf{1}_{\{|t|<2\}} + 4\frac{1}{t^2}\mathbf{1}_{\{|t|\geq2\}})$. Set $G(t) = c_4\,g_1(t) + g_2(t)$. Then $G(t)$ is a symmetric integrable function of bounded variation and is non-increasing in $t \geq 0$. Returning to (58), by (62) and (63), we get

$$|I_1| \leq \mathbb{E}_\lambda\left[\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{6A_k^{3/2}}\mathbb{E}_\lambda\left(\left|\varphi''\left(T_{k-1} - \frac{\vartheta_k\eta_k}{\sqrt{A_k}}\right)\eta_k^3\right||\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right)\right] \leq J_1, \tag{64}$$

where

$$J_1 = c\,\epsilon\,\mathbb{E}_\lambda\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{A_k^{3/2}}G\left(T_{k-1}\right)\Delta V_k\,. \tag{65}$$

Let us introduce the time change τ_t as follows: for any real $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\tau_t = \min\{k \leq n : V_k > t\}, \quad \text{where} \quad \min\emptyset = n. \tag{66}$$

It is clear that, for any $t \in [0,T]$, the stopping time τ_t is predictable. Let $(\sigma_k)_{k=1,\ldots,n+1}$ be the increasing sequence of moments when the increasing stepwise function τ_t, $t\in[0,T]$, has jumps. It is clear that $\Delta V_k = \int_{[\sigma_k,\sigma_{k+1})}dt$ and that $k = \tau_t$, for $t \in [\sigma_k, \sigma_{k+1})$. Since $\tau_T = n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{A_k^{3/2}}G\left(T_{k-1}\right)\Delta V_k &= \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{[\sigma_k,\sigma_{k+1})} \frac{1}{A_{\tau_t}^{3/2}}G\left(T_{\tau_t-1}\right)dt \\ &= \int_0^T \frac{1}{A_{\tau_t}^{3/2}}G\left(T_{\tau_t-1}\right)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Set, for brevity, $a_t = c_*^2\gamma^2 + T - t$. Since $\Delta V_{\tau_t} \leq 12\gamma^2$, we see that

$$t \leq V_{\tau_t} \leq V_{\tau_t-1} + \Delta V_{\tau_t} \leq t + 12\gamma^2, \quad t\in[0,T]. \tag{67}$$

Taking into account that $c_* \geq 4$, we have

$$\frac{1}{4}\, a_t \leq A_{\tau_t} = c_*^2\gamma^2 + T - V_{\tau_t} \leq a_t, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{68}$$

Since $G(z)$ is symmetric and is non-increasing in $z \geq 0$, the last bound implies that

$$J_1 \leq c\,\epsilon \int_0^T \frac{1}{a_t^{3/2}} \mathbb{E}_\lambda G\left(\frac{u - Y_{\tau_t - 1}}{a_t^{1/2}}\right) dt. \tag{69}$$

By Lemma 7.2, it is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{E}_\lambda G\left(\frac{u - Y_{\tau_t - 1}}{{a_t}^{1/2}}\right) \leq c_1 \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_{\tau_t - 1} \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2\sqrt{a_t}. \tag{70}$$

Since $V_{\tau_t - 1} = V_{\tau_t} - \Delta V_{\tau_t}$, $V_{\tau_t} \geq t$ (cf. (67)) and $\Delta V_{\tau_t} \leq 12\gamma^2$, we get

$$V_n - V_{\tau_t - 1} \leq V_n - V_{\tau_t} + \Delta V_{\tau_t} \leq 12\gamma^2 + T - t \leq a_t. \tag{71}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\lambda((Y_n - Y_{\tau_t - 1})^2|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_t - 1}) &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda\left(\sum_{k=\tau_t}^n \mathbb{E}_\lambda(\eta_k^2|\mathcal{F}_{k-1})|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_t - 1}\right) \\ &\leq c\,\mathbb{E}_\lambda\left(\sum_{k=\tau_t}^n \Delta\left\langle X\right\rangle_k |\mathcal{F}_{\tau_t - 1}\right) \\ &= c\,\mathbb{E}_\lambda\left(\left\langle X\right\rangle_n - \left\langle X\right\rangle_{\tau_t - 1} |\mathcal{F}_{\tau_t - 1}\right) \\ &\leq c\,\mathbb{E}_\lambda\left(V_n - V_{\tau_t - 1}|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_t - 1}\right) \\ &\leq c\, a_t. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 7.1, we find that, for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_{\tau_t - 1} \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq c_1 \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2\sqrt{a_t}. \tag{72}$$

Form (69), (70) and (72), we obtain

$$J_1 \leq c_1\,\epsilon \int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t^{3/2}} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2\,\epsilon \int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t}. \tag{73}$$

By some elementary computations, we see that (since $\lambda \geq 1$)

$$\int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t^{3/2}} \leq \frac{c}{c_*\lambda\epsilon} \leq \frac{c}{c_*\epsilon} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t} \leq c\left|\log \epsilon\right|. \tag{74}$$

Then

$$|I_1| \leq J_1 \leq \frac{c}{c_*} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2\, \epsilon \,|\log \epsilon| \,. \tag{75}$$

b) *Control of* I_2. Set $\psi(z) = \varphi(z)(1+z^2)^{3/2}$ and $\widetilde{G}(z) = \sup_{|v|\leq 2} \psi(z+v)$. Then $\widetilde{G}(z)$ is a symmetric integrable function of bounded variation and is non-increasing in $t \geq 0$. Since $\Delta A_k = -\Delta V_k$, we have $|I_2| \leq I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,1} &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2A_k} \left|\varphi'\left(T_{k-1}\right)\left(\Delta V_k - \Delta \left\langle X\right\rangle_k\right)\right|, \\ I_{2,2} &= \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2A_k} \left|\varphi'\left(T_{k-1}\right)\left(\Delta \left\langle Y\right\rangle_k - \Delta \left\langle X\right\rangle_k\right)\right|. \end{aligned}$$

We first deal with $I_{2,1}$. Since $|\varphi'(z)| \leq \psi(z) \leq \widetilde{G}(z)$, for any real z, we have

$$\left|\varphi'\left(T_{k-1}\right)\right| \leq \widetilde{G}\left(T_{k-1}\right). \tag{76}$$

Note that $0 \leq \Delta V_k - \Delta \left\langle X\right\rangle_k \leq 2\delta^2 \mathbf{1}_{\{k=n\}}$, $A_n = c_*^2\gamma^2$ and $c_* \geq 4$. Then, using (76), we get the estimations

$$I_{2,1} \leq \frac{c_2}{c_*}\, \mathbb{E}_\lambda \widetilde{G}\left(T_{n-1}\right),$$

and, by (70) with $G = \widetilde{G}$ and (72) with $t = T$,

$$|I_{2,1}| \leq \frac{c_1}{c_*} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2\gamma.$$

We next consider $I_{2,2}$. By (50), we easily obtain the bound

$$\left|\Delta \left\langle Y\right\rangle_k - \Delta \left\langle X\right\rangle_k\right| \leq c\lambda\epsilon\Delta \left\langle X\right\rangle_k \leq c\lambda\epsilon\Delta V_k.$$

With this bound, we get

$$|I_{2,2}| \leq c\lambda\epsilon\, \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2A_k} \left|\varphi'\left(T_{k-1}\right)\right| \Delta V_k.$$

Since $|\varphi'(z)| \leq \psi(z) \leq \widetilde{G}(z)$, the right-hand side can be bounded exactly in the same way as J_1 in (65), with A_k replacing $A_k^{3/2}$. What we get is (cp. (73))

$$|I_{2,2}| \leq c_1\lambda\epsilon \int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2\lambda\epsilon \int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t^{1/2}}.$$

By some elementary computations, we see that

$$\int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t^{1/2}} \leq \int_0^T \frac{dt}{\sqrt{T-t}} \leq c_2,$$

and, taking into account that $a_t \geq c_*^2 \gamma^2$,

$$\int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t} \leq \frac{c_1}{c_* \lambda \epsilon} \int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t^{1/2}} \leq \frac{c_2}{c_* \lambda \epsilon}.$$

Then

$$|I_{2,2}| \leq \frac{c_1}{c_*} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2 \lambda \epsilon.$$

Collecting the bounds for $I_{2,1}$ and $I_{2,2}$, we get

$$|I_2| \leq \frac{c_1}{c_*} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2 \gamma. \tag{77}$$

c) *Control of* I_3. By Taylor's expansion,

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{8} \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{(A_k - \vartheta_k \Delta A_k)^2} \varphi''' \left(\frac{u - Y_{k-1}}{\sqrt{A_k - \vartheta_k \Delta A_k}} \right) \Delta A_k^2.$$

Since $|\Delta A_k| = \Delta V_k \leq 12\gamma^2$ and $c_* \geq 4$, we have

$$A_k \leq A_k - \vartheta_k \Delta A_k \leq c_*^2 \gamma^2 + T - V_k + 12\gamma^2 \leq 2A_k. \tag{78}$$

Using (78) and the inequalities $|\varphi'''(z)| \leq \psi(z) \leq \widetilde{G}(z)$, we obtain

$$|I_3| \leq c\gamma^2 \mathbb{E}_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{A_k^2}\ \widetilde{G}\left(\frac{T_{k-1}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \Delta V_k.$$

Estimating in the same way as J_1 in (65), we get

$$|I_3| \leq \frac{c_1}{c_*} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2 \gamma. \tag{79}$$

We are now in a position to end the proof of Lemma 3.1 by using the estimates of I_1, I_2 and I_3. From (54), using (75), (77) and (79), we find

$$|\mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_n, A_n) - \mathbb{E}_\lambda \Phi_u(Y_0, A_0)| \leq \frac{c_1}{c_*} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2(\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon\, |\log \epsilon| + \delta).$$

Implementing the last bound in (53), we arrive at

$$\sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq \frac{c_1}{c_*} \sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| + c_2(\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon\, |\log \epsilon| + \delta),$$

from which, choosing $c_* = \max\{2c_1, 4\}$, we get

$$\sup_z |\mathbb{P}_\lambda(Y_n \leq z) - \Phi(z)| \leq 2c_2(\lambda\epsilon + \epsilon\, |\log \epsilon| + \delta), \tag{80}$$

which proves the second assertion of Lemma 3.1.

For the first assertion of Lemma 3.1, we can prove similarly as the second one by taking $\gamma = \epsilon |\log \epsilon| + \delta$: We only need to note that in this case, instead of (74), we have

$$\int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t^{3/2}} \leq \frac{c}{c_* \epsilon |\ln \epsilon|} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_0^T \frac{dt}{a_t} \leq c\, |\log \epsilon|\, . \tag{81}$$

References

[1] Bentkus, V. and Račkauskas, A., 1990. On probabilities of large deviations in Banach spaces. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **86**, 131–154.

[2] Bolthausen, E., 1982. Exact convergence rates in some martingale central limit theorems. *Ann. Probab.*, **10**, 672–688.

[3] Bose, A., 1986a. Certain non-uniform rates of convergence to normality for martingale differences. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **14**, 155–167.

[4] Bose, A., 1986b. Certain non-uniform rates of convergence to normality for a restricted class of martingales. *Stochastics*, **16**, 279–294.

[5] Cramér, H., 1938. Sur un nouveau théorème-limite de la théorie des probabilités. *Actualite's Sci. Indust.*, **736**, 5–23.

[6] Dembo, A., 1996. Moderate deviations for martingales with bounded jumps. *Electron. Comm. Proba.*, **1**, 11–17.

[7] Djellout, H., 2002. Morderate deviations for martingale differences and applications to $\phi-$mixing sequences. *Stochastic Stochastic Rep.*, **73**, 37–63.

[8] Esscher, F., 1932. On the probability function in the collective theory of risk. *Skand. Aktuarie Tidskr.*, **15**, 175–195.

[9] Feller, W., 1943. Generalization of a probability limit theorem of Cramér. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361–372.

[10] Feller, W., 1971. *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*, J. Wiley and Sons.

[11] Gao, F. Q., 1996. Moderate deviations for martingales and mixing random processes. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **61**, 263–275.

[12] Grama, I., 1995. The probabilities of large deviations for semimartingales. *Stochastic Stochastic Rep.*, **54**, 1–19.

[13] Grama, I., 1997. On moderate deviations for martingales. *Ann. Probab.*, **25**, 152–184.

[14] Grama, I. and Haeusler, E., 2000. Large deviations for martingales via Cramer's method. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, **85**, 279–293.

[15] Grama, I. and Haeusler, E., 2006. An asymptotic expansion for probabilities of moderate deviations for multivariate martingales. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, **19**, 1–44.

[16] Gulinsky, O. V. and Veretennikov, A. Yu., 1993. *Large deviations for discrete-time processes with averaging.* VSP, Utrecht.

[17] Gulinsky, O. V., Liptser, R. S. and Lototskii, S. V., 1994. Large deviations for unbounded additive functionals of Markov processes with discrete time. *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.*, **7**.

[18] Hall, P. and Heyde, C. C., 1980. *Martingale Limit Theory and Its Application.* Academic Press.

[19] Liptser, R.S. and Pukhalskii, A. A., 1992. Limit theorems on large deviations for semimartingales. *Stochastic Stochastic Rep.*, **38**, 201–249.

[20] Petrov, V. V., 1954. A generalization of Cramer's limit theorem. *Uspekhi Math. Nauk*, **9**, 195–202.

[21] Petrov, V. V., 1975. *Sums of Independent Random Variables.* Springer-Verlag. Berlin.

[22] Račkauskas, A., 1990. On probabilities of large deviations for martingales. *Liet. Mat. Rink.*, **30**, 784–795.

[23] Račkauskas, A., 1995. Large deviations for martingales with some applications. *Acta Appl. Math.*, **38**, 109–129.

[24] Račkauskas, A., 1997. Limite theorems for large deviations probabilites of certain quadratic forms. *Lithuanian Math. J.*, **37**, 402–415.

[25] Rubin, H. and Sethuraman, J., 1965. Probabilities of morderate deviations. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, **37**, 325–346.

[26] Saulis, L. and Statulevičius, V. A., 1978. *Limite theorems for large deviations.* Kluwer Academic Publishers.

[27] Statulevičius, V. A., 1966. On large deviations. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **6**, 133–144.

[28] Worms, J., 2001. Morderate deviations for some dependent variables, part I: Martingales. *Math. Methods Statist.*, **10**, 38–72.